

FINAL Minutes, FSLAC Meeting
September 21, 2021

In Attendance: Christopher Brown, Margaret Goehring, Susan Beck, Beth Apodaca, Samantha Villa, Kate Terpis, Kelly Jenks, Caiti Steele, Richard Pratt, Ellen Bosman, Lori Saiki, David Irwin, and Eric Scholljergedes

I. Introductions

II. Update on Just In Time serials policy (Kate Terpis)

- a. Funding: sustainability is a priority; the Provost has dedicated 750k for this year, and this will continue if enrollment stays stable. See graphic for priorities:
 - i. Backfiles E collections: 90k first year; year 2 TBA
 - ii. E-Journals: 220k first year and second year
 1. Seek to target what is essential and necessary
 - iii. Just In Time acquisition: 220k first year; 100k year two
 - iv. Digital Infrastructure: 220k first year; 180k year two
 1. Library will explore partnerships in support of digital infrastructure; Increase ILL capacity and explore controlled digital lending options; convert back-end server support to the Cloud; seek Humanities partnerships based on conversation with faculty
- b. Library will seek feedback from faculty, using FSLAC as the primary point of contact, but is also willing to include any feedback
 - i. How will that feedback be reported out?
 1. A: This could be as Raw data, or themes based on what is doable or not doable (although which would depend on the volume of feedback)
 - ii. When will you need feedback?
 1. Feedback should be returned by Oct. 8 (in order for the library to prepare for decisions about subscription deadlines in Dec.)
 - iii. What will the mechanism be by which feedback is given?
 1. A form with rubric has been created that is in draft which will go through the approval process this week and should be ready by Sept. 20

III. Update on the Faculty Rush Program (Kate/Ellen)

- a. Part of the ILL enhanced experience with quicker turn-around based on prioritization of e-books. There is limited budget and space to accommodate physical resources.
 - i. Question: Can a priority be given into the rubric for physical resources that tend not to be available in electronic form?

IV. Update on the search for a new Library Dean (Susan)

- a. Committee met with Isaacson & Miller (see attached memo); there will be a meeting the week of Sept. 20 and also in October. It is still not clear what the mechanism will be for initial screenings, but hopefully this will be answered soon. A timeline should be issued shortly. It is anticipated that a hire can have been made by January
- b. Chris strongly urged FSLAC members to get very involved with this process and to give input to the Provost.

V. Ideas to Enhance Communication

- a. Chris: we are very happy with the dashboard that Wendy Simpson has been maintaining on the library website, and urges the library to take advantage of FSLAC resources to broadcast and get feedback
- b. Ellen: the form might be problematic to disseminate by hotline because it might have to be a live form on google drive; perhaps something could be made that could be downloaded and then uploaded to a drive folder?

VI. Concern about library resources for the New College

- a. Lori: Are there resources that the library can offer to support the New College? A new academic program is being proposed, which is part of the proposal packet. Unfortunately, with the creation of the New College, this was not done.
 - i. UPAC is working on getting library resources embedded into this process (Susan is co-chair of this committee). The form needs to go through HED/HLC, and if we can't put library resources onto the proposal and it gets sent to HED, that puts the library in a bad spot. We really need NEW monies to support these kinds of initiatives.
- b. Isn't there a task force to deal with these questions in the new college?
 - i. Lori: yes, but I think their focus now is finding a new dean and define social transformation. Lori suggests that while they are focusing on dean search that library be included as a partner
 - ii. Susen: BOR approved this with the proviso that a monitoring committee be formed and report back to the regents, and this topic could be addressed by this committee. Susan will find out if this committee has been created
 - iii. Because the approval of the college did not take into account library resources, it is now incumbent to college leadership to push on this. Lori and Susan can do research on this and FSLAC could do a position paper to send to the Provost.
- c. New College Rep for FSLAC: we have two HSS reps. Karen Koppera-Fry and Lori; what shall we do?
 - i. Proposed (Chris): keep Lori; and simply go back and tell Henrietta and Karen thank you but we have moved in a new direction.
 - ii. MOTION (Chris): Lori should stay in her role as rep of College of HEST; Susan, Eric: first, seconded
 - iii. Motion unanimously accepted

VII. Other concerns

- a. Question: Carlsbad, Grants and DACC, Alamogordo do not have library directors and not sure they will have. What support will there be for other campuses, and aid for staff there that don't have the training or resources?
 - i. Carlsbad is becoming an independent institution; Samantha will remain director there and the DACC director search just closed
 - ii. Susan: suggests that this an issue the new dean tackle
- b. What about a rep for the other campus libraries? What about support for these libraries
 - i. Meg, Chris, Samantha, Ellen (in consultation with Kate)—sub-committee to come up with some ideas on this problem
 - ii. CC libraries can use the main library ticket system, but only one cc actually does this

Meeting adjourned

For next meeting (OCT)

- Vote on FSLAC secretary
- Vote on rep for CC libraries
-